JIM Original Article

Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of 1420 European patients with mild-to-moderate coronavirus disease 2019

● Jerome R. Lechien[1,2,3,4] (iD), Carlos M. Chiesa-Estomba[1,5], Sammy Place[1,6], Yves Van Laethem[7], Pierre Cabaraux[1,8], Quentin Mat[1,8], Kathy Huet[1,9], Jan Plzak[10,11], Mihaela Horoi[1,4], Stéphane Hans[1,3], Maria Rosaria Barillari[1,12], Giovanni Cammaroto[1,13], Nicolas Fakhry[1,14], Delphine Martiny[15], Tareck Ayad[1,16], Lionel Jouffe[1,17], Claire Hopkins[18,19], Sven Saussez[2,4,20] & COVID-19 Task Force of YO-IFOS*

From the [1]COVID-19 Task Force of the Young-Otolaryngologists of the International Federations of Oto-rhino-laryngological Societies (YO-IFOS), Paris, France; [2]Department of Human Anatomy and Experimental Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, UMONS Research Institute for Health Sciences and Technology, University of Mons (UMons), Mons, Belgium; [3]Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Foch Hospital, School of Medicine, UFR Simone Veil, Université Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (Paris Saclay University), Paris, France; [4]Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, CHU de Bruxelles, CHU Saint-Pierre, School of Medicine, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium; [5]Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Hospital Universitario Donostia, San Sebastian, Spain; [6]Department of Internal Medicine, Infectiology, EpiCURA Hospital, Baudour; [7]Department of Internal Medicine, Infectiology, CHU Saint-Pierre, Brussels; [8]Department of Medicine, Neurology, CHU de Charleroi, Charleroi; [9]Department of Statistics, Language Sciences, University of Mons, Mons, Belgium; [10]Department of Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery, 1st Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic; [11]Confederation of European Otorhinolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Vienna, Austria; [12]Department of Mental and Physical Health and Preventive Medicine, University of L. Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy; [13]Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Morgagni Pierantoni Hospital, Forli, Italy; [14]Department of Otorhinolaryngology— Head & Neck Surgery, APHM, Aix Marseille University, La Conception University Hospital, Marseille, France; [15]Department of Microbiology, Laboratoire Hospitalier Universitaire de Bruxelles, Universitair Laboratorium Brussel (LHUB-ULB), Brussels; Faculté de Médecine et Pharmacie, Université de Mons (UMONS), Mons, Belgium; [16]Division of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada; [17]BayesiaLab, Changé, France; [18]Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust; [19]British Rhinological Society (President), London, UK; and [20]Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, EpiCURA Hospital, Hornu, Belgium

Abstract. Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, Place S, Van Laethem Y, Cabaraux P, Mat Q, Huet K, Plzak J, Horoi M, Hans S, Rosaria Barillari M, Cammaroto G, Fakhry N, Martiny D, Ayad T, Jouffe L, Hopkins C, Saussez S; COVID-19 Task Force of YO-IFOS (COVID-19 Task Force of the Young-Otolaryngologists of the International Federations of Oto-rhino-laryngological Societies (YO-IFOS), Paris, France; University of Mons (UMons), Mons, Belgium; Université Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (Paris Saclay University), Paris, France; Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium; Hospital Universitario Donostia, San Sebastian, Spain; EpiCURA Hospital, Baudour; CHU Saint-Pierre, Brussels; CHU de Charleroi, Charleroi; University of Mons, Mons, Belgium; University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic; Confederation of European Otorhinolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Vienna, Austria; University of L. Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy; Morgagni Pierantoni Hospital, Forli, Italy; La Conception University Hospital, Marseille, France; Universitair Laboratorium Brussel (LHUB-ULB), Brussels;

Université de Mons (UMONS), Mons, Belgium; Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada; BayesiaLab, Changé, France; Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; British Rhinological Society (President), London, UK; EpiCURA Hospital, Hornu, Belgium). Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of 1420 European patients with mild-to-moderate coronavirus disease 2019 (Original article). *J Intern Med* 2020; **288**: 335–344.

Background. The clinical presentation of European patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19 infection is still unknown.

Objective. To study the clinical presentation of COVID-19 in Europe.

Methods. Patients with positive diagnosis of COVID-19 were recruited from 18 European hospitals. Epidemiological and clinical data were obtained through a standardized questionnaire. Bayesian analysis was used for analysing the relationship between outcomes.

Results. A total of 1,420 patients completed the study (962 females, 30.7% of healthcare workers). The mean age of patients was 39.17 ± 12.09 years. The most common symptoms were headache (70.3%), loss of smell (70.2%), nasal obstruction (67.8%), cough (63.2%), asthenia (63.3%), myalgia (62.5%), rhinorrhea (60.1%), gustatory dysfunction (54.2%) and sore throat (52.9%). Fever was reported by 45.4%. The mean duration of COVID-19 symptoms of mild-to-moderate cured patients was 11.5 ± 5.7 days. The prevalence of symptoms significantly varied according to age and sex. Young patients more frequently had ear, nose and throat complaints, whereas elderly individuals often presented fever, fatigue and loss of appetite. Loss of smell, headache, nasal obstruction and fatigue were more prevalent in female patients. The loss of smell was a key symptom of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 patients and was not associated with nasal obstruction and rhinorrhea. Loss of smell persisted at least 7 days after the disease in 37.5% of cured patients.

Conclusion. The clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 substantially varies according to the age and the sex characteristics of patients. Olfactory dysfunction seems to be an important underestimated symptom of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 that needs to be recognized as such by the WHO.

Keywords: COVID-19, coronavirus, clinical, epidemiological, symptoms, Europe, patients, medicine.

Introduction

Since the first case of pneumonia related to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1], the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has spread rapidly worldwide. In Europe, the first cases have been identified in Italy, dating back 19 January 2020 [2]. As of April 4, a total of 840 246 European citizens have been diagnosed through laboratory testing, with 70 583 corresponding deaths [3]. European data regarding clinical presentation and epidemiological factors of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 are limited by a paucity of diagnostic tests in many European countries. A recent study suggests 3 distinct variants of COVID-19, representing clusters of infection in the United States and Australia (Type A), China and East Asia (Type B) and Europe (Type C) [4].

Therefore, it seems that there may be significant epidemiological differences between Asian and European disease regarding, for example the spread rate of the disease and the mortality [5].

The aim of this European multicenter study is to investigate the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-2019 infection.

Materials and methods

This observational study was initiated by the COVID-19 Task Force of the International Federation of Otorhinolaryngological Societies (World Otolaryngological Federation, YO-IFOS). At the onset of the pandemic, the European otolaryngologists of the Task force have started collaborations with Internal Medicine physicians, infectious diseases specialists, microbiologists and clinical biologists of their respective hospitals to conduct this multicenter study. The institutional ethics committees of five European Hospitals approved the study protocol (HAP2020-011; CHUSP20032020; EpiCURA-2020-2303, CHUC,P20/30-24/03-B325-2020; J.Bordet Institute: CE3137). Patient informed consent was obtained electronically in the light of urgent need to collect data.

Data collection

More than 50 European physicians have collected clinical data from patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infection. The data have been collected from March 22 to April 10 by physicians from 18 Hospitals from French (Paris, Marseille), Italian (Milan, Verona, Naples, Genova, Florence, Forli), Spanish (Sevilla, Santiago de Compostela, San Sebastian), Belgian (Mons, Brussels, Charleroi, Saint-Ghislain) and Swiss (Geneva) cities.

In these European centres, the nasal or throat swabs were made for the following patients: (i) patients suspected on the basis on a consultation in the family physician, (ii) patients who consulted specialists (internal medicine physician, pulmonologist, cardiologist, otolaryngologist, etc.), and (iii) patients admitted/consulting in the Emergency Department for mild-to-moderate complaints.

The diagnosis of COVID-19 infection was based on the WHO interim guidance [6]. Viral RNA extraction was performed by m2000 mSample Preparation SystemDNA Kit (Abbott) using 1000 µL manually lysed sample (700 µL sample + 800 µL lysis buffer from kit) eluted in 90 µL elution buffer. A qRT-PCR internal control was added at each extraction. qRT-PCR was performed using 10 µL of extracted sample in the RealStar®SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR Kit from Altona Diagnostics with a cut-off set at 40 Ct.

Patients with positive RT-PCR were identified through the database of the laboratories of the hospitals. The hospital admittance records were used to identify additional patients who realized the RT-PCR in an external laboratory. Patients requiring intensive care admission were not included due to their health status and the inability to answer to the questions. Thus, we mainly included mild-to-moderate COVID-19 patients, defined as patients without need of intensive care. To avoid some bias, the methodology was similar for all centres.

Study outcomes

The clinical and epidemiological outcomes data were obtained using a standardized questionnaire in the patient's room, or over the phone for housebound patients or infected health professionals. For confined patients, the same questionnaire was created with Professional Survey Monkey (San Mateo, CA, USA), so that each participant could complete the survey only once. This online collection method allowed the automatic extraction of data, simplifying the realization of statistic matrixes. Note that investigators had access to the medical records of hospitalized patients for completing some data (comorbidities).

The selection of the relevant epidemiological and clinical features included in the questionnaire was carried out by the COVID-19 Task Force members. These included demographic data, medical history, tobacco addiction, comorbidities, general symptoms, otolaryngological symptoms and treatments. The disease onset was defined as the first day of symptoms. The length of symptoms was defined as the number of days where the patients had > 1 general or ear, nose and throat symptoms associated with the COVID-19 infection. The length of the disease was assessed on patients who have a resolution of general symptoms for at least a week.

Statistical methods

Bayesian networks used in the present study included a qualitative model based on directed acyclic graph (DAG) indicating the dependencies, and a quantitative model based on local probability distributions, specifying the probabilistic relationships [7]. DAG consisted of nodes and directed links. Nodes were variables on interest, such as loss of smell, sex and age, whereas directed links represented statistical dependencies amongst the variables.

The local probability distributions were either marginal, for nodes without incoming links, or conditional, for nodes with incoming links. In this case, the dependencies were quantified by conditional probability tables (CPT) for each node given the nodes associated with the incoming links in the graph. Once fully specified, a Bayesian network compactly represented the joint probability distribution (JPD) and, thus, was used for computing the posterior probabilities of any subset of variables given evidence about any other subset. The Bayesian networks presented in this article were machine learned with BayesiaLab (Changé, France, Europe). The algorithms minimized the minimum description length (MDL) score. Basically, the MDL score manages the trade-off between complexity and information, allowing the addition of arcs only when the additional cost in model representation is offset by the reduction in uncertainty.

Results

Demographic data

We collected the data of 1,566 patients over the study period, including 1,420 patients who completed the full evaluation (962 females). The mean age of patients was 39.17 ± 12.09 years (median: 37.00); 94% of those patients were under 60 years of age. The cohort included 436 healthcare workers (30.7 % of cohort). Irrespective to the country, less than 10% of patients required hospitalization ($N = 116$). The main ethnicities represented in our cohort were European/caucasian (91.4%) and, in lower proportions, North African (2.9%) and South American (2.6%) (Table 1).

Clinical outcomes

Symptoms
The most prevalent symptoms were headache (70.3%), loss of smell (70.2%), nasal obstruction

Table 1. *Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of patients*

Characteristic	All patients (N = 1420)	Cured patients (N = 264)	15–39 yo (N = 793)	40–59 yo (N = 551)	>60 yo (N = 76)
Age					
Mean (SD) - yo	39.17 ± 12.09	34.1 ± 12.4	30.14 ± 4.8	48.4 ± 5.5	66.9 ± 6.9
Gender (N - %)					
Male	458 (32.3)	168 (63.6)	231 (29.0)	190 (34.5)	37 (48.7)
Female	962 (67.7)	96 (36.4)	562 (71.0)	361 (65.5)	39 (51.3)
Ethnicity (N - %)					
European/Caucasian	1298 (91.4)	242 (91.7)	715 (90.2)	512 (92.9)	71 (93.4)
Asian	11 (0.8)	1 (0.4)	6 (0.8)	5 (0.9)	0 (0)
Black African	25 (1.8)	3 (1.1)	17 (2.1)	7 (1.3)	1 (1.3)
North African	41 (2.9)	15 (5.7)	27 (3.4)	12 (2.2)	2 (2.6)
North American	2 (<0.1)	1 (0.4)	2 (0.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
South American	37 (2.6)	1 (0.4)	24 (3.0)	13 (2.4)	0 (0)
Oceanian	1 (<0.1)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.3)
Mixing	5 (0.4)	0 (0)	2 (0.3)	2 (0.4)	1 (1.3)
Addictions (N - %)					
Nonsmoker	1,217 (85.7)	224 (84.8)	670 (84.4)	485 (87.8)	62 (81.6)
Mild smoker (1-10 cigarettes daily)	162 (11.4)	40 (15.2)	98 (12.4)	53 (9.6)	11 (14.5)
Moderate smoker (11-20 cigarettes daily)	36 (2.5)	2 (0.8)	21 (2.8)	12 (2.4)	3 (3.9)
Heavy smoker (>20 cigarettes daily)	5 (0.4)	0 (0)	4 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	0 (0)
Allergic patients	277 (19.5)	39 (14.8)	155 (19.5)	111 (20.1)	11 (14.5)
Symptoms (N - %)					
Headache	998 (70.3)	162 (61.4)	574 (72.4)	385 (69.9)	39 (51.4)
Loss of smell	997 (70.2)	168 (63.6)	600 (75.8)	367 (66.6)	30 (39.5)
Nasal obstruction	963 (67.8)	157 (59.5)	585 (73.8)	335 (60.8)	43 (56.6)
Asthenia	514 (63.3)*	200 (76.0)*	264 (59.7)*	215 (65.7)*	35 (81.4)*
Cough	897 (63.2)	146 (55.3)	501 (63.2)	350 (63.5)	46 (60.5)
Myalgia	887 (62.5)	154 (58.3)	480 (60.5)	370 (67.2)	37 (48.7)
Rhinorrhea	854 (60.1)	141 (53.4)	507 (63.9)	304 (55.2)	43 (56.6)
Taste dysfunction	770 (54.2)	107 (40.5)	434 (54.9)	298 (54.1)	38 (50.0)
Sore throat	751 (52.9)	118 (44.7)	440 (55.5)	281 (51.0)	30 (39.5)
Dyspnoea	697 (49.1)	116 (43.9)	392 (49.4)	271 (49.2)	34 (44.7)
Postnasal drip	680 (47.9)	105 (39.8)	398 (50.2)	254 (46.1)	28 (36.8)
Loss of appetite	649 (45.7)	121 (45.8)	344 (43.4)	257 (46.6)	48 (63.2)
Fever (>38C)	645 (45.4)	135 (51.1)	330 (41.6)	263 (47.7)	52 (68.4)
Face pain/heaviness	644 (45.4)	82 (31.1)	393 (49.6)	232 (42.1)	19 (25.0)
Arthralgia	519 (36.5)	74 (28.0)	265 (33.4)	226 (41.0)	28 (36.8)
Diarrhoea	473 (38.1)	90 (34.1)	253 (31.9)	184 (33.4)	36 (47.4)
Dysphonia	176 (28.4)*	74 (28.0)	80 (23.8)*	86 (32.2)*	10 (28.6)*
Chest pain	173 (27.2)*	72 (27.4)	85 (25.4)*	81 (5.5)*	7 (20.0)*
Ear pain	358 (25.2)	45 (17.0)	214 (27.0)	133 (24.1)	11 (14.5)
Dysphagia	274 (19.3)	39 (14.8)	163 (20.6)	97 (17.6)	14 (18.4)

Table 1 (*Continued*)

Characteristic	All patients (N = 1420)	Cured patients (N = 264)	15–39 yo (N = 793)	40–59 yo (N = 551)	>60 yo (N = 76)
Nausea, vomiting	272 (19.2)	46 (17.4)	143 (18.0)	109 (19.8)	20 (26.3)
Abdominal pain	270 (19.1)	40 (15.2)	140 (17.7)	112 (20.3)	18 (23.7)
Reduction of smell	201 (14.2)	40 (15.2)	99 (12.6)	86 (15.6)	16 (21.1)
Sticky mucus/phlegm	193 (15.6)	16 (6.1)	112 (14.1)	68 (12.3)	13 (17.1)
Comorbidities					
Diabetes	24 (1.7)	4 (1.5)	2 (0.3)	15 (2.72)	7 (9.2)
Hypertension	131 (9.2)	28 (10.6)	12 (1.5)	87 (15.8)	32 (42.1)
CRS with or without polyps	35 (2.5)	6 2.3)	12 (1.5)	22 (4.0)	1 (1.3)
History of Surgery for CRS	23 (1.6)	3 (1.1)	10 (1.3)	9 (1.6)	4 (5.3)
Hypothyroidism (treated)	79 (5.6)	17 (6.4)	31 (3.9)	42 (7.6)	6 (7.9)
Hypothyroidism (untreated)	8 (<0.1)	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	7 (1.3)	0 (0)
Allergic rhinitis	190 (13.4)	35 (13.3)	111 (14.0)	71 (12.9)	8 (10.5)
Renal failure	6 (0.4)	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	3 (0.5)	4 (5.3)
Hepatic insufficiency	8 (0.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0.7)	4 (5.3)
Respiratory insufficiency	10 (0.7)	2 (0.8)	1 (0.1)	4 (0.7)	5 6.6)
GERD	58 (6.9)*	20 (8.6)*	15 (3.3)*	36 (10.4)*	7 (13.7)*
Asthma	93 (6.5)	12 (4.5)	46 (5.8)	44 (7.99)	3 (3.9)
Heart problems	25 (1.8)	5 (1.9)	7 (0.9)	10 (1.8)	8 (10.5)
Neurological diseases	13 (0.9)	0 (0)	3 (0.4)	4 (0.7)	6 (7.9)
Depression	36 (2.5)	7 (2.7)	17 (2.1)	15 (2.7)	4 (5.3)
Autoimmune diseases	18 (1.3)	2 (0.8)	5 (0.7)	8 (1.5)	5 (6.6)
Untreated cancer/cancer under treatment	22 (1.5)	3 (1.1)	9 (1.1)	11 (2.0)	22 (28.9)

CRS, chronic rhinosinusitis; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; SD, standard deviation.
*Some data were not available, and therefore, the proportion was calculated on a reduced sample.

(67.8%), cough (63.2%), asthenia (63.3%), myalgia (62.5%), rhinorrhea (60.1%), gustatory dysfunction (54.2%) and sore throat (52.9%) (Table 1, Figure 1). Fever was reported by on 45.4%. Some patients added the following: conjunctivitis (N = 9), visual acuity reduction (N = 6), rotatory vertigo (N = 6), tinnitus (N = 5), cutaneous rash (N = 4), cervical lymphadenopathies (N = 2) and parotiditis (N = 1). The mean duration of COVID-19 symptoms of mild-to-moderate cured patients (N = 264) was 11.5 ± 5.7 days (Figure 2).

Comorbidities
Allergic rhinitis (13.4%), hypertension (9.2%), gastroesophageal reflux disease (6.9%) and asthma (6.5%) were the most prevalent comorbidities (Table 1).

Age
The Bayesian analysis revealed that prevalence of symptoms significantly varied according to age.

The clinical presentation of young COVID-19 patients more frequently included ear, nose and throat symptoms, that is loss of smell, nasal obstruction, rhinorrhea, facial pain, headache and throat pain, compared with elderly individuals, who more frequently presented fever, fatigue, loss of appetite and diarrhoea (*P* < 0.010).

Sex
The following symptoms were proportionally more prevalent in females compared with males: loss of smell, headache, nasal obstruction, throat pain and fatigue (*P* < 0.001). Males more frequently suffered from cough and fever (*P* < 0.001). There was no significant difference in the duration of the disease regarding sex.

Key symptoms
The Bayesian analysis identified that loss of smell is a key symptom in COVID-19 infection

Figure 1 *Symptom Proportion. The proportion of symptoms (%) in the European mild-to-moderate COVID-19 population.*

(Figure 3). Loss of smell was significantly influenced by both sex and age of patients. Excluding patients with current or history of chronic rhinosinusitis, 29.4% and 38.5% of patients with loss of smell had no nasal obstruction or rhinorrhea, respectively. Similarly, dysgeusia, which was defined as partial or total loss of the following four taste modalities: salty, sweet, bitter and sour, was more frequently found in young patients and in female patients ($P = 0.001$). Gustatory dysfunction was present in 23.4%, 49.8% and 61.6% of patients without smell disorder, partial and total loss of smell, respectively. A total of 429 patients did not have gustatory dysfunction but had olfactory disorders (30.2%). According to the Bayesian analysis, the developments of olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions were linked ($P < 0.001$), whilst many patient patterns have been identified regarding the development of one or both dysfunctions.

Amongst cured patients, 37.5% ($N = 99$) reported that olfactory dysfunction persisted at least 7 days after the end the disease. The mean duration of loss of smell within the patients who recovered olfaction was 8.41 ± 5.05 days. The recovery of olfaction was not significantly linked to recovery of taste.

Treatment outcomes

The majority of patients received oral treatment for the COVID-19 infection ($N = 1005$; 70.8%), whereas 29.1% of patients did not receive any treatment. Depending on the symptoms, patients received analgesic drugs (e.g. paracetamol (86.1%), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (7.3%)), oral corticosteroids (1.4%), mucolytics (8.7%), hydroxychloroquine (12.4%), antibiotics (e.g. macrolides (3.1%) and beta-lactam antibiotic (4.5%)) and antiviral drugs (1.1%). Pulmonary aerosols and nasal treatments have been used in 2.2% and 9.4% of cases, respectively.

Discussion

The majority of patients with COVID-19 infection are thought to be paucisymptomatic and do not require hospitalization. These patients remain potentially infectious individuals who must be identified and confined in order to reduce transmission. To date, there is no clinical study describing the epidemiological and clinical features of European mild-to-moderate COVID-19 patients.

The clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate patients in Europe mainly consists of headache

Lechien *et al*. Clinical and Epidemiological Characteristics of 1,420 European Patients with mild-to-moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019. J Int Med, 2020

Figure 2 *Symptom Duration Data. The proportion of patients (Y-axis) and the duration of the disease (X-axis, Days).*

(70.3%), loss of smell (70.2%), nasal obstruction (67.8%) and asthenia (63.3%). Interestingly, young patients presented more frequently with ear, nose and throat symptoms than the elderly. The European clinical presentation appears different from that reported in Asian. According to recent studies, the COVID-19 infection of both hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients in Asia was mainly associated with fever, cough, dyspnoea and fatigue [1,8–11]. Precisely, in the study of Huang *et al.*, the most prevalent symptoms were fever (98%), cough (76%) and dyspnoea (55%) [1]. The authors only included hospitalized patients. In the study of Zou *et al.*, the main prevalent symptoms of hospitalized patients were fever (94%) and cough (79%) [9]. The symptom presentation appeared to be similar amongst elderly Asian patients with fever, cough and dyspnoea as the most prevalent complaints [12]. Interestingly, Wu *et al.* provided substantial information about the proportion of mild-to-moderate patients in China, accounting for 81% of COVID-19 patients but they did not study the

clinical presentation of these patients [8]. Note that according to the China regions, 3.8 to 63.0% of infected patients were healthcare workers [8]. Surprisingly, only one Asian study reported olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions, accounting for 5.1% and 5.8% of patients, respectively [13]. The differences between our data and the Asian population may be explained by three main hypotheses. First, the Asian studies only included hospitalized patients who were probably more affected by the disease (moderate-to-severe patients). Thus, the pulmonary infection and the related symptoms (dyspnoea, fever) would be more prevalent in moderate-to-severe patients. Currently, there is no Asian study that analysed the data of mild-to-moderate patients, who are however more numerous than the hospitalized patients. Secondly, as proposed by Li *et al.* [14] and Forster *et al.*[4], the differences between both world regions, especially about olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions, could be related to differences in the genetic pattern of virus (potential mutations). Thirdly, the well-

Figure 3 *Overview of one Network. Network describing the association between symptoms and some demographic data.*

established polymorphism in the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) expression in the human tissues may also explain clinical differences [15]. A recent comparison of the 15 expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) variants of the ACE2 gene suggested different ACE2 polymorphisms and expression levels between Asian and European populations [16].

The clinical presentation seems to be influenced by the sex. Our data may corroborate the researches demonstrating the differences between males and females in the immune response to COVID-19 infection and inflammatory diseases. Thus, it seems that females, compared to males, are less susceptible to have complications related to viral infections based on a different innate immunity, steroid hormones and factors related to sex chromosomes [17]. Precisely, the immune regulatory genes encoded by female X chromosome may cause lower viral load levels, and, therefore, less inflammation compared with male patients [17]. Our data revealed that gender differences may particularly concern the development of olfactory dysfunction. Females are more susceptible to develop postinfectious olfactory dysfunction in viral infections related to parainfluenza, Epstein–Barr virus or previous form of coronavirus [18].

The different patterns of development and recovery of gustatory and olfactory dysfunctions found in our cohort rejects the hypothesis that reported loss of taste would reflect only loss of flavour due to olfactory dysfunction, and suggests true gustatory dysfunction. Olfactory and gustatory disorders could be related to the SARS-CoV-2 neuroinvasive potential [19], which may be more prevalent in European patients due to higher ACE2 expression in nasal mucosa [20].

Regarding treatment, our data showed that analgesics were the most commonly used drugs. Nonsteroidal drugs (NDAIDs) were not used often, perhaps reflecting early thoughts that ibuprofen may exacerbate disease severity. However, the published evidence for or against the use of NSAIDs in COVID-19 patients are currently lacking [21]. Interestingly, hydroxychloroquine was empirically prescribed in 12% of patients despite a lack of large randomized controlled data demonstrating efficacy.

The present study has several limitations. First, our patients were young and had few comorbidities. The lack of studies focusing on mild-to-moderate COVID-19 patients limits the comparison with the current literature. The comorbidity rates of some Asian studies focusing on all types of hospitalized patients are slightly higher to ours

[22]. Secondly, as for many other studies, the lack of sensitivity of RT-PCR and the potential country-related differences in the indications for performing swabs may lead to a selection bias. Furthermore, we are reliant on self-reported reduction in loss of taste and smell and were unable to confirm this with objective testing. Thirdly, we observed a high rate of healthcare workers in our population, which reflects likely exposure to the infection without protective material (e.g. FFP2/3 masks) in many places. Whilst the proportion in our study suggests high rates of infection in healthcare workers than the study of Guan *et al.* [22] we are unable to provide true estimates of incidence. Fourthly, regarding the lack of tests in Europe, it is probable that some swabs have been realized in moderate or at risk – patients in some European centres, which may consist of another selection bias.

It seems important to emphasize that this study does not assume the prevalence of COVID-19 in the European population, which is still unknown, but aimed to describe the clinical characteristics of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 patients, defined as patients who did not require hospitalization in intensive care units. Considering the patients of intensive care units, the prevalence of some symptoms, such as fever or dyspnoea, would be higher. According to the clinical observation of many investigators of the present study, future studies would focus on the clinical presentation of patients regarding their disease severity. Thus, it would be possible that loss of smell may be more frequent in mild-to-moderate patients, especially younger and female patients, compared with severe forms where cough and fever are more common. Future epidemiological studies are needed to elucidate this point.

Conclusion

The clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 substantially varies according to the age and the sex characteristics of patients. Olfactory dysfunction seems to be an important underestimated symptom of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 infection since the majority of studies focused on hospitalized patients. Loss of smell needs to be recognized as such by the WHO.

Acknowledgements

Author contribution

Jerome R Lechien: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Resources (equal); Supervision (equal); Validation (equal). **Carlos M Chiesa-Estomba:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Resources (equal). **Sammy Place:** Data curation (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Resources (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Yves Van Laethem:** Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Pierre Cabaraux:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Resources (equal). **Quentin Mat:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal). **Kathy Huet:** Resources (equal); Software (equal); Supervision (equal); Validation (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Jan Plzak:** Visualization (equal); Writing-original draft (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Mihaela Horoi:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Investigation (equal). **Stephane Hans:** Investigation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-original draft (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Maria R Barillari:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal). **Giovanni Cammaroto:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal). **Nicolas Fakhry:** Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Methodology (equal); Writing-original draft (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Delphine Martiny:** Resources (equal); Supervision (equal); Validation (equal). **Tareck Ayad:** Conceptualization (equal); Supervision (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-original draft (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Lionel Jouffe:** Project administration (equal); Resources (equal); Software (equal). **Claire Hopkins:** Conceptualization (equal); Writing-original draft (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal).

Sven Saussez: Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Resources (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal).

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Collaborators

Serge Blecic, MD, Daniele R. De Siati, MD, Pierre Leich MD, Christel Souchay MD, Camelia Rossi MD, Fabrice Journe PhD, Julien Hsieh MD, MS, Laurence Ris PhD, Fahd El Afia, MD, Bernard Harmegnies, PhD, Lea Distinguin, MD, MS, Younes Chekkoury-Idrissi, MD, Marta Circiu, MD, Philippe Lavigne MD, Irene Lopez Delgado MD, Christian Calvo-Henriquez MD, Chiara Falanga MD, Frederique Coppee PhD, Serge D. Le Bon, MD, Alexandra Rodriguez, MD , Didier Dequanter, MD, PhD, Jean-Philippe Cornelis, MD, Sebastien Vergez, MD, PhD, Lukas Koenen MD, Mannelli Giuditta MD, Gabriele Molteni MD, Manuel Tucciarone MD, Thomas Radulesco MD, Mohamad Khalife MD, Anne-Francoise Fourneau, MD, Soraya Cherifi, MD, PhD, Mario Manto, MD, PhD, Justin Michel MD, PhD, Giovanna Cantarella, MD, PhD.

References

1 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X *et al*. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; **395:** 497–506.

2 Giovanetti M, Benvenuto D, Angeletti S, Ciccozzi M. The first two cases of 2019-nCoV in Italy: Where they come from? *J Med Virol* 2020; **92:** 518–21.

3 World Health Organization Data. https://who.maps.arcgis.com. Consulted April 11, 8:00 P.M.

4 Forster P, Forster L, Renfrew C, Forster M. Phylogenetic network analysis of SARS-CoV-2 genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020; **117:** 9241–3. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2004999117

5 Yuan J, Li M, Lv G, Lu ZK. Monitoring Transmissibility and Mortality of COVID-19 in Europe. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020. pii: S1201–9712(20)30182-X. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.050

6 World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance. 2020. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/clinical-management-of-novel-cov.pdf.

7 Conrady S, Jouffe L. Bayesian Networks & BayesiaLab - A Practical Introduction for Researchers, 2015, ISBN: 0996533303.

8 Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020. [Epuba head of print]. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2648

9 Zhou F, Yu T, Du R *et al*. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020; **395:** 1054–62.

10 Li LQ, Huang T, Wang YQ *et al*. COVID-19 patients' clinical characteristics, discharge rate, and fatality rate of meta-analysis. *J Med Virol* 2020. [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25757

11 Cao Y, Liu X, Xiong L. Imaging and clinical features of patients with 2019 novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Med Virol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25822

12 Wang L, He W, Yu X *et al*. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in elderly patients: characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. *J Infect* 2020. pii: S0163-4453(20)30146-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.019

13 Mao L, Jin H, Wang M *et al*. Neurological manifestations of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127

14 Wang C, Liu Z, Chen Z *et al*. The establishment of reference sequence for SARS-CoV-2 and variation analysis. *J Med Virol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25762

15 Li W, Zhang C, Sui J *et al*. Receptor and viral determinants of SARS-coronavirus adaptation to human ACE2. *EMBO J* 2005; **24:** 1634–43.

16 Cao Y, Li L, Feng Z *et al*. Comparative genetic analysis of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) receptor ACE2 in different populations. *Cell Discov* 2020; **6:** 11.

17 Conti P, Younes A. Coronavirus COV-19/SARS-CoV-2 affects women less than men: clinical response to viral infection. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2020; **34:** https://doi.org/10.23812/Editorial-Conti-3

18 Suzuki M, Saito K, Min WP *et al*. Identification of viruses in patients with postviral olfactory dysfunction. *Laryngoscope* 2007; **117:** 272–7.

19 Li YC, Bai WZ, Hashikawa T. The neuroinvasive potential of SARS-CoV2 may play a role in the respiratory failure of COVID-19 patients. *J Med Virol* 2020. [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25728

20 Long C, Xu H, Shen Q *et al*. Diagnosis of the Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): rRT-PCR or CT? *Eur J Radiol* 2020; **25:** 108961.

21 Russell B, Moss C, Rigg A, Van Hemelrijck M. COVID-19 and treatment with NSAIDs and corticosteroids: should we be limiting their use in the clinical setting? *Ecancermedicalscience* 2020; **14:** 1023.

22 Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y *et al*. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382:** 1708–20.

Correspondence: Jerome R. Lechien, Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Foch Hospital, School of Medicine, UFR Simone Veil, Université Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Paris Saclay University, Paris, France.
(email: Jerome.Lechien@umons.ac.be)■